Case 3:13-cv-01300-BTM-WVG Document 3 Filed 06/12/13 PageID.14 Page 1 of 2

that the Court has federal question jurisdiction because the Notice of Trustee Sale did

not notify her of the location of the sale so as to afford the Defendant the opportunity

27

28

to cure the default, in violation of Defendant's right to due process.

However, it is "settled law that a case may not be removed to federal court on the basis of a federal defense." Franchise Tax Bd. v. Constr. Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1, 14 (1983). Furthermore, the Court has no basis for concluding that diversity jurisdiction exists. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

The removing defendant always has the burden of establishing that removal is proper, and the court resolves all ambiguity in favor of remand to state court. <u>Gaus v. Miles, Inc.</u>, 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992). Since the Court does not appear to have jurisdiction in this matter, it hereby **REMANDS** the action to the Superior Court of California, San Diego County.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 12, 2013

BARRY TED MOSKOWITZ, Chief Judge United States District Court